

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/623,569	MIYANO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Anish Desai	1771	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Anish Desai.

(2) Keiko Takagi.

**Date of Interview:** 29 September 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*Claims 1 and 13*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

Anish P. Desai  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicant's representative Ms. Keiko Takagi on 09/29/29 that claim 1 would be allowable if the claim 1 is amended to incorporate the language of the claim 13. Ms. Keiko Takagi informed the examiner on 10/02/06 that the applicant does not want to amend the claim 1 as suggested by the examiner and the applicant requests the examiner to issue another Office Action. .